

Crowding, Boarding, and Throughput in the Emergency Department

Description

Crowding, boarding, and/patient throughput delays are daily problems in emergency departments (EDs) worldwide and are especially problematic when facing increasing needs of patients in the ED (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2024; Savioli et al., 2022). ED crowding occurs when the need for services exceeds the department's available resources for timely patient care (American College of Emergency Physicians [ACEP], 2024; Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians [CAEP], 2024; Emergency Nurses Association [ENA], 2021; International Federation for Emergency Medicine [IFEM], 2022; Pearce et al., 2023;).

According to ACEP, boarding in the ED is a result of dangerous health system overload that puts patients in a holding pattern as they wait for an inpatient bed or transfer after their initial care (ACEP, 2024).

Patient throughput refers to the resources, care, and decision-making involved in moving patients through a healthcare facility including admission via the ED (ACEP, 2024).

While definitions vary, especially for the term boarding, the Emergency Medical Treatment and Active Labor Act (EMTALA) law provides a relevant starting place for clarity in decision-to-admit and patient boarding definitions: ED's bear a responsibility to provide medical screening and to stabilize or transfer those patients with medical emergencies (EMTALA, 1986, n.d.; ACEP, 2024). Hence, boarding cannot begin until an ED has completed their responsibilities according to EMTALA. The definition of decision-to-admit is then based on the point after a patient has received all the following: (a) emergency stabilization, (b) completion and review of diagnostic studies, and (c) a provider-to-provider handoff has occurred or a transfer order has been placed (EDBA, 2017). EDs experience a large, sometimes overwhelming, demand for services. In the U.S., of nearly 140 million patient ED visits each year, over 40 million visits are injury related (including poisoning and adverse effects), and more than 18 million visits result in admission into the hospital setting (CDC, 2024).

Decision-to-admit time in an ED is crucial to ensure the patient receives necessary care, minimize delays in treatment and potential complications (Imhoff et al., 2022). Considering these rates of ED use, it is imperative that hospitals and EDs provide optimal care to all, improve efficiency, and support hospital-wide care guidelines to address the needs of patients as well as the staff providing their care (CDC, 2024, 2021; CMS, 2018; Mustafa et al., 2016).

35
36 It is important to note that solutions for decreasing boarding nearly always require improving patient flow
37 throughout the hospital, rather than within an isolated unit. Such solutions necessitate a systems-level
38 understanding of variations of capacity, demand, and the specific consequences of misalignment of these
39 variables (Melton et al., 2016; Silver et al., 2016; Loke et al., 2023). Addressing ED overcrowding and
40 reducing the need for boarding is essential for improving patient outcomes and enhancing the efficiency of
41 healthcare systems. Rigorous and consistent metrics are fundamental to identify and address clinical
42 process problems and evaluate process improvements (CAEP, 2023; ACEP, 2024). When problem areas
43 are identified, solutions can be implemented. Decision-to-admit time in an ED is crucial metric that
44 reflects the delivery of necessary care, delays in treatment, and potential complications (Imhoff et al,
45 2022).

46
47 Addressing ED overcrowding and reducing the need for boarding is essential for improving patient
48 outcomes and enhancing the efficiency of healthcare systems. Emergency nurses can initiate and drive
49 hospital-wide change to mitigate ED crowding and boarding. but commitment from hospital administrators
50 to solve the problem is requisite (Silver et al., 2016). Strategies such as improving inpatient bed
51 availability, expanding hospital capacity, and enhancing care coordination can help alleviate the negative
52 consequences of boarding (Rader et al., 2024; CAEP, 2023; Pearce et al., 2023; IFEM, 2022; Sartini et al.,
53 2022). Every ED, hospital, county, and region presents a different set of variables that contribute to ED
54 crowding and boarding. There is no one-size-fits-all solution, and all solutions must be data-driven,
55 problem-oriented, and unique to each hospital and hospital system to be successful.

ENA Position

It is the position of the Emergency Nurses Association (ENA) that:

1. Crowding, boarding, and patient throughput delays are associated with poor patient outcomes, negative impacts on emergency staff, and disruption of communities' overall emergency services.
2. It is essential that patients receive emergent stabilization, diagnostic studies are completed and reviewed, and an admission or transfer order is placed or a handoff from one provider to another has occurred.
3. Patient boarding be addressed as a collaborative effort across the healthcare system, inclusive of multidisciplinary stakeholders from the ED, and inpatient areas.
4. Consistent definitions, data and measurements using rigorous metrics are key to both understanding and conveying the factors that cause ED crowding, boarding, and/or throughput delay and are used as the basis for evaluating quality care.
5. Further research is required to identify industry best practices and benchmarks for calculating labor productivity and ED workload when crowding, boarding, and or throughput delays occur.

Background

Negative Outcomes from Boarding and Crowding

ED crowding is a global public healthcare crisis (Pearce et al., 2023; IFEM, 2022; Sartini et al., 2022). The ED is one of the most crowded units in the hospital with the most high-risk patients (Sartini et al., 2022). Crowding in the ED is associated with deleterious patient outcomes including increased morbidity and mortality; increased medical errors; delayed or missed provider orders; prolonged time to surgery, analgesia, imaging, and antibiotics; poorer outcomes for patients with cardiac conditions, stroke, and sepsis; decreased patient satisfaction; and increased rates of patients leaving without being seen (Pearce et al., 2023; IFEM, 2022; CAEP, 2023; Sartini et al., 2022; Rader et al., 2023).

Crowding has also been implicated in negative nursing outcomes, including increased nursing workload, burnout, and staff turnover (Pearce et al., 2023). Additionally, the impact of ED crowding extends to the Emergency Medical Services (EMS) system, increasing ambulance diversion and patient offload delay, which may occur when EDs are closed to ambulance traffic or when EMS personnel must wait to handoff care to ED personnel until ED beds are available (Musselwhite et al., 2024; Kuhner et al., 2024; Imhoff et al., 2022; Loke et al., 2023). Leaders are challenged to address ED staffing to provide safe care with the added complexity of crowding, patient boarding, and throughput delays. Furthermore, there has been little research on standardized methodologies that hospital leadership may use to account for these additional labor hours (ENA, 2021; Moretz & Chmielewski, 2019).

According to 2022 data from ACEP, the median boarding time across all EDs rose from 119 minutes to approximately 192 minutes in 2019 (Augustine, 2023). The delayed process of moving ED patients to inpatient units is crippling ED operations (Augustine, 2023). In 2020 the EDBA held their fourth summit to review, update, and clarify definitions to ensure shared language and add key definitions and metrics for ED operations (Yiadom et al., 2020).

According to Imhoff et al., 2022, their quality improvement project showed the length of stay for admitted patients exceeded the 2020 EDBA 50% benchmark by 72 min for similarly sized EDs (institution 473 min, EDBA benchmark 401 min.) Furthermore, boarding time exceeded the EDBA 50% benchmark by 44 min (institution 202 min, EDBA benchmark 158 min (Imhoff et al, 2022)). Crowding has negative effects on patient care, patient satisfaction, and well-being of the healthcare teams (Imhoff et al., 2022).

Similar in Canada, the median boarding time has risen across many provinces, the Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technology (CADTH) report in November of 2023, median wait times in 2022–2023 for an inpatient bed for admitted patients in Alberta, Ontario, and Yukon were up from levels in 2010–2011, with greater increases in urban EDs (2022–2023: Alberta: 3.6 hours; Ontario: 7 hours; Yukon: 3.7 hours) than in rural or remote EDs (2022–2023: Alberta: 0.1 hours; Ontario: 1.9 hours; Yukon: 0.1 hours) (2024;

Canadian Institute of Health Information (CIHI), 2023). CADTH further reported there was a greater than 100% increase in the proportion of ED patients who were not seen or left the ED between 2020–2021 and 2022–2023 in Alberta (2020–2021: 3.95%; 2022–2023: 8.72%) and Ontario (2020–2021: 3.28%; 2022–2023: 6.64%). There was a 45% increase in Yukon (2020–2021: 3.76%; 2022–2023: 5.44%) (CADTH, 2023; CIHI, 2023).

Moving Toward Potential Solutions

The National Quality Forum (NQF) included in their definition the stipulation that the decision-to-admit must be initiated by a physician (2012). Because of boarding time and definition variations, as well as various benchmarks regarding decision-to-admit, boarding has not been sufficiently or consistently identified or tracked. In 2014, The Joint Commission’s “Patient Flow Standard” suggested that patient boarding not exceed four hours from decision to admit (2014).

Foundational research by Asplin et al. (2003) developed a conceptual model known as Patient Throughput to illustrate: (a) the arrival of patients at an ED for care – input, (b) the care patients receive within the ED - throughput, and (c) patients leaving the ED to home or other care environments — output. The Patient Throughput model remains relevant in research and is used to serve as both a description of sources of ED crowding as well as the course of treatment that patients take through the ED in receipt of their care (ENA, 2021; Khanna et al., 2017; Moretz & Chmielewski, 2019). This throughput model makes it possible to conceive how one source of ED crowding impacts another; how the consumption of ED personnel, geographic, and equipment resources are required to meet sources of crowding; and why resolutions for ED crowding require a hospital wide systems approach (ENA, 2021; Khanna et al., 2017; Moretz & Chmielewski, 2019; Loke et al., 2023). EDs have no control or influence over their hospital inpatient units’ capability to accept patients, yet EDs accrue the burden when existing patient admissions are blocked (ENA, 2021; Moretz & Chmielewski, 2019).

According to the ACEP Summit on boarding, (2024) solutions could be a centralized, standardized resource tracking that included consideration of public-facing data. It is important that patient boarding be addressed as a collaborative effort inclusive of multidisciplinary stakeholders from the ED and inpatient areas (Moretz & Chmielewski, 2019; Loke et al., 2023). We also need to look at the community factors that are contributing to delay in discharging patients. Lack of primary care providers, social determinants of health, vulnerable populations require a systematic approach and diverse stakeholders.

Resources

- American College of Emergency Physicians. (2024). Policy Solutions to Emergency Department Boarding. Retrieved on November 14, 2024 from <https://www.acep.org/contentassets/f31712d47ec74b9aad129978f60dd575/acep-boarding-policy-solutions.pdf>
- Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians (CAEP) Advocacy and Public Affairs Committee. (2023). Regarding ED Closures and Crowding Across Canada [Official Statement]. https://caep.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Crowding_Closures_Statement_Sept5.pdf
- Barata, I., Brown, K. M., Fitzmaurice, L., Griffin, E.S., Snow, S.K., American Academy of Pediatrics Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine, American College of Emergency Physicians Pediatric Emergency Medicine Committee, & Emergency Nurses Association Pediatric Committee. (2015). Best practices for improving flow and care of pediatric patients in the emergency department. *Pediatrics*, 135(1), e273–283. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2014-3425>
- George Washington University School of Medicine and Health Sciences. (n.d.). Urgent matters. <https://smhs.gwu.edu/urgentmatters/>
- International Federation for Emergency Medicine. (2022). Over-crowded Emergency Departments [Position Statement]. https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ifem/pages/546/attachments/original/1670806966/IFEM_Position_Statement_on_Emergency_Department_Overcrowding_December_2022.pdf?1670806966
- Moretz, J., & Chmielewski, N. (2019, June). Emergency department boarding: Methods accounting for lost productivity. BRG Review. Berkeley Research Group. <https://www.thinkbrg.com/newsroom-publications-brgreview-ed-boarding.html>
- Wiler, J. L., Welch, S., Pines, J., Schuur, J., Jouriles, N., & Stone-Griffith, S. (2015). Emergency department performance measures updates: Proceedings of the 2014 emergency department benchmarking alliance consensus summit. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 22(5), 542–553. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12654>

References

- American College of Emergency Physicians. (2024). Policy Solutions to Emergency Department Boarding. Retrieved on November 14, 2024 from <https://www.acep.org/contentassets/f31712d47ec74b9aad129978f60dd575/acep-boarding-policy-solutions.pdf>

175 American College of Emergency Physicians. (2019). Crowding. Retrieved on February 4th, 2025 from
176 [https://www.acep.org/patient-care/policy-](https://www.acep.org/patient-care/policy-statements/crowding#:~:text=Originally%20approved%20January%202006,entire%20health%20care%20delivery%20system)
177 [statements/crowding#:~:text=Originally%20approved%20January%202006,entire%20health%20car](https://www.acep.org/patient-care/policy-statements/crowding#:~:text=Originally%20approved%20January%202006,entire%20health%20care%20delivery%20system)
178 [e%20delivery%20system](https://www.acep.org/patient-care/policy-statements/crowding#:~:text=Originally%20approved%20January%202006,entire%20health%20care%20delivery%20system)

179 American College of Emergency Physicians. (n.d). EMTALA fact sheet. [https://www.acep.org/life-as-a-](https://www.acep.org/life-as-a-physician/ethics--legal/emtala/emtala-fact-sheet/)
180 [physician/ethics--legal/emtala/emtala-fact-sheet/](https://www.acep.org/life-as-a-physician/ethics--legal/emtala/emtala-fact-sheet/)

181 Asplin, B. R., Magid, D. J., Rhodes, K. V., Solberg, L. I., Lurie, N., & Camargo, C. A. (2003). A
182 conceptual model of emergency department crowding. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 42(2), 173–180.
183 <https://doi.org/10.1067/mem.2003.302>

184 Augustine, J. (2023). A first look at Emergency Department Data for 2022. ACEP Now. Retrieved on
185 November 14, 2024 from [https://www.acepnow.com/article/a-first-look-at-emergency-department-](https://www.acepnow.com/article/a-first-look-at-emergency-department-data-for-2022/?singlepage=1&theme=print-friendly)
186 [data-for-2022/?singlepage=1&theme=print-friendly](https://www.acepnow.com/article/a-first-look-at-emergency-department-data-for-2022/?singlepage=1&theme=print-friendly)

187 Canadian Association of Emergency Physicians (CAEP) Advocacy and Public Affairs Committee. (2024).
188 Regarding ED Closures and Crowding Across Canada [Official Statement]. [https://caep.ca/wp-](https://caep.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Crowding_Closures_Statement_Sept5.pdf)
189 [content/uploads/2023/09/Crowding_Closures_Statement_Sept5.pdf](https://caep.ca/wp-content/uploads/2023/09/Crowding_Closures_Statement_Sept5.pdf)

190 Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technology (CADTH). (2023). Emergency Department Overcrowding in
191 Canada. *National Library of Medicine*. Retrieved on April 1, 2025 from
192 [https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK599980/#:~:text=EDs%20are%20also%20experiencing%20high](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK599980/#:~:text=EDs%20are%20also%20experiencing%20higher,an%20increasingly%20culturally%20diverse%20population)
193 [er,an%20increasingly%20culturally%20diverse%20population](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK599980/#:~:text=EDs%20are%20also%20experiencing%20higher,an%20increasingly%20culturally%20diverse%20population)

194 Canadian Institute for Health Information (CIHI). (2023). NACRS emergency department visits and
195 lengths of stay. Retrieved on April 1, 2025 from [https://www.cihi.ca/en/nacrs-emergency-department-](https://www.cihi.ca/en/nacrs-emergency-department-visits-and-lengths-of-stay)
196 [visits-and-lengths-of-stay](https://www.cihi.ca/en/nacrs-emergency-department-visits-and-lengths-of-stay).

197 Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024). Emergency department visits. National center for
198 health statistics. <https://www.cdc.gov/nchs/fastats/emergency-department.htm>

199 Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act, 42 U.S. Code § 1395dd. (1986).
200 [https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-1994-title42/html/USCODE-1994-title42-chap6-](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-1994-title42/html/USCODE-1994-title42-chap6-subchapXVIII_2-partC-sec1395dd.htm)
201 [subchapXVIII_2-partC-sec1395dd.htm](https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/USCODE-1994-title42/html/USCODE-1994-title42-chap6-subchapXVIII_2-partC-sec1395dd.htm)

202 Emergency Nurses Association. (2021). Staffing and productivity in the emergency department [Position
203 statement].
204 [https://enau.ena.org/AssetListing/StaffingandProductivityintheEmergencyDepartmentPositionState](https://enau.ena.org/AssetListing/StaffingandProductivityintheEmergencyDepartmentPositionStatement/Staffing-and-Productivity-in-the-Emergency-Department-Position-Statement-9518)
205 [ment/Staffing-and-Productivity-in-the-Emergency-Department-Position-Statement-9518](https://enau.ena.org/AssetListing/StaffingandProductivityintheEmergencyDepartmentPositionStatement/Staffing-and-Productivity-in-the-Emergency-Department-Position-Statement-9518)

206 Gaieski, D. F., Agarwal, A. K., Mikkelsen, M. E., Drumheller, B., Cham Sante, S., Shofer, F. S., Goyal,
207 M., Pines, J. M. (2017). The impact of emergency department crowding on early interventions and
208 mortality in patients with severe sepsis. *American Journal of Emergency Medicine*, 35(7), 953–960.
209 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2017.01.061>

210 International Federation for Emergency Medicine. (2022). Over-crowded Emergency Departments
211 [Position Statement].

212 https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ifem/pages/546/attachments/original/1670806966/IFEM_Position
 213 [_Statement_on_Emergency_Department_Overcrowding_December_2022.pdf?1670806966](https://assets.nationbuilder.com/ifem/pages/546/attachments/original/1670806966/IFEM_Position_Statement_on_Emergency_Department_Overcrowding_December_2022.pdf?1670806966)

214 Imhoff, B., Marshall, K., Nazir, N., Pal, A., Parkhurst, M. (2022). Reducing time to admission in
 215 emergency department patients: a cross-functional quality improvement project. *BMJ Open Quality*. 11:
 216 e001987, 1-6. Retrieved on November 13, 2024 from <https://doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-001987>

217 The Joint Commission. (2014). The “Patient Flow Standard” and the 4-hour recommendation. *Joint*
 218 *Commission Perspectives*, 33(6). [https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/r3-report/r3-report-](https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/r3-report/r3-report-issue-4-patient-flow-through-the-emergency-department/)
 219 [issue-4-patient-flow-through-the-emergency-department /](https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/r3-report/r3-report-issue-4-patient-flow-through-the-emergency-department/)

220 Khanna, S. Boyle, J., Good, N., Bell, A., & Lind, J. (2017). Analyzing the emergency department patient
 221 journey: Discovery of bottlenecks to emergency department patient flow. *Emergency Medicine*
 222 *Australasia*, 29(1), 18–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1742-6723.12693>

223 Kuhner, C., Su, J., Quinn, E., Wolin, J., Kimbrell, J., Friedman, M. S., Lobel, D., Dickman, E., Eng, D.
 224 (2024). The effect of paramedics in emergency department triage on ambulance patient offload times: A
 225 retrospective study. *International Journal of Paramedicine*. (6),18-28. Retrieved on November 13, 2024
 226 from <https://doi.org/10.56068/VAPF4488>

227 Loke, D., Green, K., Wessling, E., Stulpin, E., Fant, A. (2023). Clinicians’ Insights on Emergency
 228 Department Boarding: An explanatory Mixed Methods Study Evaluating Patient Care and Clinician Well-
 229 Being. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*. June (49), 663-670. Retrieved on
 230 November 19, 2024 from <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcjq.2023.06.017>

231 McKenna, P., Heslin, S. M., Viccellio, P., Mallon, W. K., Hernandez, C., & Morley, E. J. (2019).
 232 Emergency department and hospital crowding: causes, consequences, and cures. *Clinical and Experimental*
 233 *Emergency Medicine*, 6(3), 189–195. <https://doi.org/10.15441%2Fceem.18.022>

234 Melton, J. D., Blind, F., Hall, A. B., Leckie, M., & Novotny, A. (2016). Impact of a hospital-wide quality
 235 improvement initiative on emergency department throughput and crowding measures. *The Joint*
 236 *Commission Journal on Quality and Patient Safety*, 42(12), 533–542. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250(16)30104-0)
 237 [7250\(16\)30104-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250(16)30104-0)

238 Moretz, J., & Chmielewski, N. (2019). Emergency department boarding: Methods accounting for lost
 239 productivity. *BRG Review*. Berkeley Research Group.
 240 [https://www.thinkbrg.com/insights/publications/emergency-department-boarding-methods-](https://www.thinkbrg.com/insights/publications/emergency-department-boarding-methods-accounting-for-lost-productivity/)
 241 [accounting-for-lost-productivity/](https://www.thinkbrg.com/insights/publications/emergency-department-boarding-methods-accounting-for-lost-productivity/)

242 Morley, C., Unwin, M., Peterson, G. M., Stankovich, J., & Kinsman, L. (2018). Emergency department
 243 crowding: A systematic review of causes, consequences and solutions. *PLoS ONE*, 13(8).
 244 <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0203316>

245 Moskop, J. C., Geiderman, J. M., Marshall, K. D., McGreevy, J., Derse, A. R., Bookman, K., McGrath, N.,
 246 & Iserson, K. V. (2019). Another look at the persistent moral problem of emergency department crowding.
 247 *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 74(3), 357–364. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annemergmed.2018.11.029>

248 Musselwhite, M., Warby, R., Thomas, J. (2024). EMS Emergency department diversion. StatPearls
 249 [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing. Retrieved November 13, 2024 from
 250 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK603736>

251 Mustafa, F., Gilligan, P., Obu, D., O’Kelly, P., O’Hea, E., Lloyd, C., Kelada, S., Heffernan, A., &
 252 Houlihan, P. (2016). ‘Delayed discharges and boarders’: a 2-year study of the relationship between patients
 253 experiencing delayed discharges from an acute hospital and boarding of admitted patients in a crowded
 254 ED. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 33, 636–640. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emmermed-2015-205039>

255 National Quality Forum. (2012). National voluntary consensus standards for emergency care: A consensus
 256 report.
 257 [https://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2012/12/REMCS_Emergency_Department_Crowding_a](https://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2012/12/REMCS_Emergency_Department_Crowding_and_Boarding_Healthcare_System_Preparedness_and_Surge_Capacity.aspx)
 258 [nd_Boarding_Healthcare_System_Preparedness_and_Surge_Capacity.aspx](https://www.qualityforum.org/Publications/2012/12/REMCS_Emergency_Department_Crowding_and_Boarding_Healthcare_System_Preparedness_and_Surge_Capacity.aspx)

259 Pearce, S., Marchand, T., Shannon, T., Ganshorn, H., Lang, E. (2023). Emergency Department crowding:
 260 an overview of reviews describing measures, causes, and harms. *Internal and Emergency Medicine Journal*.
 261 18(4), 1137-1158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11739-023-03239-2>

262 Rader, T., Ritchie, L. (2023). Emergency Department Overcrowding in Canada: Multistakeholder
 263 Dialogue: CADTH Health Technology Review [Internet]. Ottawa (ON). Canadian Agency for Drugs and
 264 Technologies in Health. Retrieved November 13, 2024 from
 265 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK598221?>

266 Reznick, M. A., Murray, E., Youngren, M. N., Durham, N. T., & Michael, S. S. (2017). Door-to-imaging
 267 time for acute stroke patients is adversely affected by emergency department crowding. *Stroke*, 48(1), 49–
 268 54. <https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015131>

269 Sanders, J. H., & Karr, T. (2015). Improving ED specimen TAT using Lean Six Sigma. *International*
 270 *Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 28(5), 428–440. [https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-10-2013-](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-10-2013-0117)
 271 [0117](https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-10-2013-0117)

272 Sartini, M., Carbone, A., Demartini, A., Giribone, L., Oliva, M., Spagnolo, A. M., Cremonesi, P., Canale,
 273 F., Cristina, M. L. (2022). Overcrowding in emergency department: Causes, consequences, and solutions-A
 274 narrative Review. *National Library of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10091625>

275 Savioli, G., Ceresa, I. F., Gri, N., Piccini, G. B., Longhitano, Y., Zanza, C., Piccioni, A., Esposito, C.,
 276 Ricevuti, G., Bressan, M. A. (2022). Emergency department overcrowding: Understanding the factors to
 277 find corresponding solutions. *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 12(279), 1-13. Retrieved February 4th,
 278 2025 from <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm12020279>

279 Silver, S. A., Harel, Z., McQuillan, R., Weizman, A. V., Thomas, A., Chertow, G. M., Nesrallah, G., Bell,
 280 C. M., & Chan, C. T. (2016). How to begin a quality improvement project. *Clinical Journal of the*
 281 *American Society of Nephrology*, 11(5), 893–900. <https://doi.org/10.2215/cjn.11491015>

282 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (2003). 42
 283 CFR Parts 413, 482, and 489: Medicare program; Clarifying policies related to the responsibilities of
 284 Medicare-participating hospitals in treating individuals with emergency medical conditions. *Federal*
 285 *Register*, 68(174). <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2003-09-09/pdf/03-22594.pdf>

286 Wiler, J. L., Welch, S., Pines, J., Schuur, J., Jouriles, N., & Stone-Griffith, S. (2015). Emergency
287 department performance measures updates: Proceedings of the 2014 emergency department benchmarking
288 alliance consensus summit. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 22(5), 542–553.
289 <https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12654>

290 Wundavalli, L., Kumar, P., & Dutta, S. (2019). Workload Indicators of Staffing Need as a tool to
291 determine nurse staffing for a high volume academic emergency department: An observational study.
292 *International Emergency Nursing*, 46, Article 100780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2019.06.003>

293 Yiadom, M. Y. A. B., Napoli, A., Granovsky, M., Parker, R. B., Pilgrim, R., Pines, J. M., Schuur, J.,
294 Augustine, J., Jouriles, N., & Welch, S. (2020). Managing and measuring emergency department care:
295 Results of the fourth Emergency Department Benchmarking Summit. *Academic Emergency*
296 *Medicine*.27(7), 537-648. Retrieved on November 14, 2024 from
297 <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/acem.13978>

Mustafa, F., Gilligan, P., Obu, D., O’Kelly, P., O’Hea, E., Lloyd, C., Kelada, S., Heffernan, A., & Houlihan, P. (2016). ‘Delayed discharges and boarders’: a 2-year study of the relationship between patients experiencing delayed discharges from an acute hospital and boarding of admitted patients in a crowded ED. *Emergency Medicine Journal*, 33, 636–640. <https://doi.org/10.1136/emered-2015-205039>

Rader, T., Ritchie, L. (2023). *Emergency Department Overcrowding in Canada: Multistakeholder Dialogue: CADTH Health Technology Review* [Internet]. Ottawa (ON). Canadian Agency for Drugs and Technologies in Health. Retrieved November 13, 2024 from <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK598221?>

Reznek, M. A., Murray, E., Youngren, M. N., Durham, N. T., & Michael, S. S. (2017). Door-to-imaging time for acute stroke patients is adversely affected by emergency department crowding. *Stroke*, 48(1), 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.1161/STROKEAHA.116.015131>

Pearce, S., Marchand, T., Shannon, T., Ganshorn, H., Lang, E. (2023). Emergency Department crowding: an overview of reviews describing measures, causes, and harms. *Internal and Emergency Medicine Journal*. Jun;18(4), 1137-1158. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11739-023-03239-2>

Sanders, J. H., & Karr, T. (2015). Improving ED specimen TAT using Lean Six Sigma. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance*, 28(5), 428–440. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJHCQA-10-2013-0117>

Sartini, M., Carbone, A., Demartini, A., Giribone, L., Oliva, M., Spagnolo, A., Cremoesi, P., Canale, F., Cristina, M. (2022). Overcrowding in Emergency Department: Causes, consequences, and solutions-A narrative Review. *National Library of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare10091625>

Savioli, G., Ceresa, I., Gri, N., Piccini, G., Longhitano, Y., Zanza, C., Piccioni, A., Esposito, C., Ricevuti, G., Bressan, M. (2022). *Journal of Personalized Medicine*. 12(279), 1-13. Retrieved February 4th, 2025 from <https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm12020279>

Silver, S. A., Harel, Z., McQuillan, R., Weizman, A. V., Thomas, A., Chertow, G. M., Nesrallah, G., Bell, C. M., & Chan, C. T. (2017). How to begin a quality improvement project. *Clinical Journal of the American Society of Nephrology*, 11(5), 893–900. <https://doi.org/10.2215/cjn.11491015>

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. (2003). 42
CFR Parts 413, 482, and 489: Medicare program; Clarifying policies related to the responsibilities of
Medicare-participating hospitals in treating individuals with emergency medical conditions. Federal
Register, 68(174). <https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2003-09-09/pdf/03-22594.pdf>

Wiler, J. L., Welch, S., Pines, J., Schuur, J., Jouriles, N., & Stone-Griffith, S. (2015). Emergency
department performance measures updates: Proceedings of the 2014 emergency department benchmarking
alliance consensus summit. *Academic Emergency Medicine*, 22(5), 542–553.
<https://doi.org/10.1111/acem.12654>

Wundavalli, L., Kumar, P., & Dutta, S. (2019). Workload Indicators of Staffing Need as a tool to determine
nurse staffing for a high volume academic emergency department: An observational study. *International
Emergency Nursing*, 46, Article 100780. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ienj.2019.06.003>

Yiadam, M., Napoli, A., Granovsky, M., Parker, R., Pilgrim, R., Pines, j., Schuur, J., Augustine, J.,
Jouriles, N., Welch, S. (2020). Managing and Measuring Emergency Department Care: Results of the
Fourth Emergency Department Benchmarking Summit. *Academic Emergency Medicine*.27(7), 537-648.
Retrieved on November 14, 2024 from <https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/epdf/10.1111/acem.13978>

351 Authored by
352 Dawn Peta, BN, RN, ENC(C)
353 Sharon Carrasco-Vanairsdale
354 Reviewed by
355 2025 ENA Position Statement Committee Members
356 2020 ENA Staff Liaison
357 Dominique Johnson, MSN, RN
358 2025 ENA Board of Directors Liaison
359 Developed: 2017
360 Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: **TBD**
361 Revised and Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: December 2020.
362 © Emergency Nurses Association, 2020.
363