

# Patient Transfers and Handoffs in Emergency Care Settings

## Description

The Joint Commission recognizes that ineffective handoff communications are a critical patient safety problem in healthcare. In fact, an estimated 70% of serious medical errors are associated with high miscommunication rates during patient handoffs (Davis et al., 2021; Shahid & Thomas, 2018). The handoff, or transfer of pertinent information from one healthcare provider to another, is a fundamental aspect of communication in healthcare (Piazza et al., 2021). Handoff incorporates discussion of patient-specific information, transfer of responsibility for the patient's care, and release and assumption of the authority and accountability for treatment and procedures by the caregivers (Alimenti et al., 2019). Vital information shared during the handoff includes the patient's chief complaint or diagnosis, diagnostic test results, the care that has been provided, the patient's response to treatment, and care that has yet to be performed (Shahid et al., 2018). Patient handoffs occur several times, in multiple ways, and under various conditions each day across the continuum of patient care, from prehospital to long term care. It is likely that more handoffs occur in the emergency department than anywhere else (American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine et al., 2016; Campbell & Dontje, 2018).

Multiple national and international organizations have recommended that institutions implement a standardized approach to handoffs in order to improve patient safety. In 2010, the Joint Commission began requiring that accredited organizations use a standardized approach to handoff communications. Accreditation Canada (2020) requires information to be transferred whenever the patient experiences a change in caregiver or location. This includes at admission, transfer, handoff, and discharge. The required organizational plan (ROP) also requires the information shared at transfer be documented in the patient's chart, to meet legal requirements for the patient's record of care (Accreditation Canada, 2020).

## ENA Position

It is the position of the Emergency Nurses Association (ENA) that:

1. A standardized approach to and clear guidelines for patient handoffs improves communication and ensures safe and effective transfer of vital information.
2. Patients, families, and all levels of caregivers be given the opportunity to be involved in handoff communication and information sharing.
3. Emergency nurses and support personnel receive education and training regarding best practices for and the importance of patient handoffs.

## Background

Patient safety associated with effective handoff communication is a global concern. The impact of ineffective handoffs includes such adverse events as delays in diagnosis and treatment, fragmented care, breaches in care, medication errors, conflicting communication, duplication of procedures and tests, lower provider and patient satisfaction, higher costs, longer and more frequent hospital stays, and patient deaths (Piper et al., 2018). According to The Joint Commission (2017) Provision of Care Standard PC.02.02.01, the organization's process for handoff communication provides the opportunity for discussion between the giver and receiver of patient information. Recognizing handoffs as a critical patient safety issue, The Joint Commission teamed with a group of U.S. hospitals and healthcare systems through its Center for

40 Transforming Healthcare to explore the use of new methods to reduce dangerous and potentially deadly  
41 breakdowns in patient care (Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare, 2010).

42 The Situation, Background, Assessment and Recommendations (SBAR) format is used to facilitate  
43 prompt, appropriate communication and patient safety. In the United States, The Joint Commission,  
44 Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ), and the Institute for Health Care Improvement  
45 (IHI) recognize SBAR as an effective communication tool for patient handoffs (Shahid & Thomas, 2018).  
46 SBAR is used in the United Kingdom, where communication failures are identified as a prime cause of  
47 patient safety incidents in the inpatient setting. In a medical emergency, patient survival often depends on  
48 inpatient staff making an early and effective call for help (Featherstone et al., 2008). Internationally,  
49 Accreditation Canada, the Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care (AHSQHC), and  
50 the World Health Organization (WHO) also recognize SBAR as an effective patient safety  
51 communication tool (Shahid & Thomas, 2018).

52 Other standardized formats intended to optimize patient outcomes by improving communication and  
53 teamwork include Team Strategies and Tools to Enhance Performance and Patient Safety (Team  
54 STEPPS) and Illness Severity, Patient Summary, Action List, Situation Awareness and Synthesis by  
55 Receiver (I-PASS). These systems and processes are identified in the literature as standardized patient  
56 handoff and transfer methods that reduce risks to patients (Nwaukwa & Satyshur, 2021; Starmer et al.,  
57 2017; Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare, 2010). In Canada, where there is a  
58 universal healthcare system, initiatives can be aligned provincially or within designated health regions.  
59 For example, in Alberta Identify patient, Diagnosis and/or current problem, Recent changes, Anticipated  
60 changes, and What to watch for (IDRAW) is used, along with SBAR (Accreditation Canada, 2020). The  
61 Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care (2009), recommends Introduction,  
62 Situation, Background, Assessment and Recommendation (ISBAR). This tool is used as a shared mental  
63 model for transfer of relevant information between care providers. To improve communication and  
64 provide a structured format the Reason-Story-Vital Signs-Plan initialism (RSVP) is easy to remember in  
65 an emergency and includes the essential information (Featherstone, et al. 2008). According to  
66 Featherstone (2008), the use of such a structured call for help could improve patient safety.

67 The increasing use of electronic health records (EHR) and other similar technologies can enhance hand-  
68 offs, but they are not a substitute for effective communication (Accreditation Canada, 2020; Jewell &  
69 Committee on Hospital Care, 2016; The Joint Commission, 2012). Some authors have described the  
70 benefits of report at the bedside and demonstrated that education and the use of standardized guidelines  
71 and processes reduced errors and improved critical care patient outcomes (Benjamin et al., 2016; Chang  
72 et al., 2010). Additional studies are necessary to establish practices that will improve the process of safely  
73 transitioning the patient through the many levels of providers in the healthcare continuum (Nwaukwa &  
74 Satyshur, 2021; Piper et al., 2018).

## 75 **Resources**

76 Accreditation Canada. (2020). *Required organizational practices: Information transfer at care transitions*  
77 [https://src.healthpei.ca/sites/src.healthpei.ca/files/Accreditation/Accreditation\\_Canada\\_Required\\_Organizational\\_Practices\\_Handbook.pdf](https://src.healthpei.ca/sites/src.healthpei.ca/files/Accreditation/Accreditation_Canada_Required_Organizational_Practices_Handbook.pdf)  
78

79 American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine, American College of

80 Emergency Physicians, Pediatric Emergency Medicine Committee, & Emergency Nurses Association  
81 Pediatric Committee. (2016). Handoffs: Transitions of care for children in the emergency department.  
82 *Pediatrics*, 138(5), Article e20162680. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-2680>

83 Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care. (2009). *ISBAR revisited: Identifying and*  
84 *solving barriers to effective clinical handover – Project toolkit*.  
85 [https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-](https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit)  
86 [identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit](https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit)

87 Island Health. (2016, February 26). *IDRAW – Information transfer and communication at handovers*.  
88 [https://vicsi-ltci.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/idraw-information-transfer-communication-](https://vicsi-ltci.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/idraw-information-transfer-communication-handovers.pdf)  
89 [handovers.pdf](https://vicsi-ltci.ca/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/idraw-information-transfer-communication-handovers.pdf)

90 The Joint Commission. (n.d.) *Handoff communications targeted solutions tool*.  
91 [https://www.centerfortransforminghealthcare.org/products-and-services/targeted-solutions-tool/hand-off-](https://www.centerfortransforminghealthcare.org/products-and-services/targeted-solutions-tool/hand-off-communications-tst/)  
92 [communications-tst/](https://www.centerfortransforminghealthcare.org/products-and-services/targeted-solutions-tool/hand-off-communications-tst/)

93 U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality. (2019,  
94 September 7). *Handoffs and signouts*. <https://psnet.ahrq.gov/primers/primer/9/handoffs-and-signouts>

## 95 **References**

96 Accreditation Canada. (2020). *Required organizational practices: Information transfer at care*  
97 *transitions*.  
98 [https://src.healthpei.ca/sites/src.healthpei.ca/files/Accreditation/Accreditation\\_Canada\\_Required\\_Org-](https://src.healthpei.ca/sites/src.healthpei.ca/files/Accreditation/Accreditation_Canada_Required_Organizational_Practices_Handbook.pdf)  
99 [anizational\\_Practices\\_Handbook.pdf](https://src.healthpei.ca/sites/src.healthpei.ca/files/Accreditation/Accreditation_Canada_Required_Organizational_Practices_Handbook.pdf)

100 American Academy of Pediatrics, Committee on Pediatric Emergency Medicine, American College of  
101 Emergency Physicians, Pediatric Emergency Medicine Committee, & Emergency Nurses Association  
102 Pediatric Committee. (2016). Handoffs: Transitions of care for children in the emergency department.  
103 *Pediatrics*, 138(5), Article e20162680. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-2680>

104 Alimenti, D., Buydos, S., Cunliffe, L., & Hunt, A. (2019). Improving perceptions of patient safety  
105 through standardizing handoffs from the emergency department to the inpatient setting: A systematic  
106 review. *Journal of the American Association of Nurse Practitioners*, 31(6), 354–363.  
107 <https://doi.org/10.1097/JXX.000000000000160>

108 Australian Commission for Safety and Quality in Health Care. (2009). *ISBAR revisited: Identifying and*  
109 *solving barriers to effective clinical handover – Project toolkit*.  
110 [https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-](https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit)  
111 [identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit](https://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/publications-and-resources/resource-library/isbar-revisited-identifying-and-solving-barriers-effective-clinical-handover-project-toolkit)

112 Benjamin, M., Hargrave S, & Nether, K. (2016). Using the Targeted Solutions Tool® to improve  
113 emergency department handoffs in a community hospital. *The Joint Commission Journal on Quality*  
114 *and Patient Safety*, 42(3),107–114. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250\(16\)42013-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1553-7250(16)42013-1)

115 Campbell, D., & Dontje, K. (2018). Implementing bedside handoff in the emergency department: A  
116 practice improvement project. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 45(2) 149–154.

117 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2018.09.007>

118 Chang, Y. N., Lin, L. H., Chen, W. H., Liao, H. Y., Hu, P. H., Chen, S. F., Peng, & Y. C. (2010). Quality  
119 control work group focusing on practical guidelines for improving safety of critically ill patient  
120 transportation in the emergency department. *Journal of Emergency Nursing*, 36(2), 140-145.  
121 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jen.2009.07.019>

122 Davis, J., Allen, S., & Forshey, M. (2021). Handoff communication: Case for flexible standardization,  
123 *Journal of Patient Safety*, 17(1), e39–e40. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PTS.0000000000000745>

124 Featherstone, P. I., Chalmers, T., & Smith, G. B. (2008). RSVP: A system for communicating patient  
125 deterioration in hospital patients. *British Journal of Nursing*, 17, 860–864.  
126 <https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2008.17.13.30540>

127 Institute for Health Care improvement., (2016, April 13). *SBAR Tool: Situation-background-assessment-*  
128 *recommendation*. <http://www.ihc.org/resources/Pages/Tools/SBARToolkit.aspx>.

129 Jewell, J. A., & Committee on Hospital Care. (2016). Standardization of inpatient handoff  
130 communication. *Pediatrics*, 138(5), e20162681. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-2681>

131 Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare. (2010, October 21). *Joint Commission Center for*  
132 *Transforming Healthcare tackles miscommunication among caregivers*.  
133 [http://www.pwrnewmedia.com/2010/jointcommission\\_01021\\_miscommunication/index.html](http://www.pwrnewmedia.com/2010/jointcommission_01021_miscommunication/index.html)

134 Nwaukwa, S., & Satyshur, R. (2021). Implementation and evaluation of a patient handoff tool to improve  
135 nurse to nurse communication. *Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 22(3) B13.  
136 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2021.01.029>

137 Piazza, A. J., Brozanski, B., Grover, T., Chuo, J., Mingrone, T., Rao, R., Smith, J., Soliman, D., Rintoul,  
138 N., Bellflower, B., Richardson, T., Holston, M., McClead, R., Guidash, J., & Pallotto, E. K. (2021).  
139 STEPP IN: A multicenter quality improvement collaborative standardizing postoperative  
140 handoffs. *Pediatrics*, 148(6), Article e2020016402. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2020-016402>

141 Piper, D., Lea, J., Woods, C., & Parker, V. (2018). The impact of patient safety culture on handover in  
142 rural health facilities. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18, Article 889.  
143 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-3708-3>

144 Shahid, S., & Thomas, S. (2018). Situation, Background, Assessment, Recommendation (SBAR)  
145 communication tool for handoff in healthcare –A narrative review. *Safety in Health*, 4, Article 7.  
146 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40886-018-0073-1>

147 Starmer, A. J., Schnock, K. O., Lyons, A., Hehn, R. S., Graham, D. A., Keohane, C., & Landrigan, C. P.  
148 (2017). Effects of the I-PASS nursing handoff bundle on communication quality and workflow. *BMJ*  
149 *Quality and Safety*, 26(12), 949–957. <http://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2016-006224>

150 The Joint Commission. (2017). Inadequate hand-off communication. *Sentinel Event Alert* (58).  
151 [https://www.jointcommission.org/resources/patient-safety-topics/sentinel-event/sentinel-event-alert-](https://www.jointcommission.org/resources/patient-safety-topics/sentinel-event/sentinel-event-alert-newsletters/sentinel-event-alert-58-inadequate-hand-off-communication)  
152 [newsletters/sentinel-event-alert-58-inadequate-hand-off-communication](https://www.jointcommission.org/resources/patient-safety-topics/sentinel-event/sentinel-event-alert-newsletters/sentinel-event-alert-58-inadequate-hand-off-communication)

153 The Joint Commission. (2012). Joint Commission Center for Transforming Healthcare releases targeted  
154 solutions tool for hand-off communications. *Joint Commission Perspectives*, 32(8), 1, 3.

155 **Authors**

156 Authored by

157 Dawn Peta, BN, RN, ENC(C)

158 Reviewed by

159 2022 ENA Position Statement Committee

160 Brenda Braun, MSN, BSN, RN, CEN, CPEN, FAEN

161 Alison Day, PhD, MSN, BS, RN, FAEN

162 Lisa Leiding, DNP, RN, CCHP-RN

163 Joanne Navarroli, MSN, BS, RN, CEN

164 Cheryl Riwitis, DNP, RN, FNP, EMT-B, CEN, CFRN, FNP-BC, TCRN, FAEN

165 Elizabeth Stone, PhD, RN, CPEN, CHSE, FAEN

166 Sharon Vanairsdale, DNP, MS, RN, APRN, NP, CNS, CEN, ACNS-BC, NP-C, FAEN, FAAN

167 Jean Proehl, MN, RN, CEN, CPEN, TCRN, FAEN, FAAN, Chairperson

168 ENA 2022 Board of Directors Liaison

169 Ryan Oglesby, PhD, RN, CEN

170 ENA Staff Liaison

171 Domenique Johnson, MSN, RN

172 Developed: 2012.

173 Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: January 2013.

174 Revised and Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: March 2018

175 Revised and Approved by the ENA Board of Directors: **TBD**

176 © Emergency Nurses Association, 2022.

177 This position statement, including the information and recommendations set forth herein, reflects ENA's current position with  
178 respect to the subject matter discussed herein based on current knowledge at the time of publication. This position statement is  
179 only current as of its publication date and is subject to change without notice as new information and advances emerge. The  
180 positions, information and recommendations discussed herein are not codified into law or regulations. In addition, variations in  
181 practice, which take into account the needs of the individual patient and the resources and limitations unique to the institution, may  
182 warrant approaches, treatments and/or procedures that differ from the recommendations outlined in this position statement.  
183 Therefore, this position statement should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of management, treatment, or care, nor  
184 does adherence to this position statement guarantee a particular outcome. ENA's position statements are never intended to replace  
185 a practitioner's best nursing judgment based on the clinical circumstances of a particular patient or patient population. Position  
186 statements are published by ENA for educational and informational purposes only, and ENA does not "approve" or "endorse" any  
187 specific sources of information referenced herein. ENA assumes no liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property  
188 arising out of or related to the use of or reliance on any position statement.