Access to Quality Healthcare

Description

Access to quality healthcare continues to be a global issue. The World Health Organization (WHO) (WHO, 2020) defines quality of care as health services increasing the possibility that identified health outcomes are consistent with evidence-based knowledge including health promotion, prevention, treatment, rehabilitation, and mitigation. Additionally, WHO identified specific outcomes to work toward the goal of primary care for all global inhabitants (WHO, 2019a). Emergency departments (EDs) were originally designed for acute emergent visits but have become the safety net for those who lack access to quality healthcare. Access to quality healthcare in the future is not clear or certain. Currently, EDs around the world are the primary access points for many to receive healthcare (U.S. Census Bureau, 2023; WHO, 2019b; WHO, n.d.c). The global increase in ED patient visits for preventable, low acuity, nonemergent conditions are due to numerous Social Determinants of Health (SDoH) including but not limited to economic, environmental, or social conditions (Riwitis & Navarro, 2023; U.S. Census Bureau, 2023; WHO, n.d.c).

As of 2020 in the United States (U.S.), ED visits exceeded 131 million annually (CDC, 2020c). In 2018, a 10-year study completed by the Healthcare Cost and Utilization Project revealed an increase in ED visits for all age groups and, most notably, a 20% increase for the 45 to 65-year-old age group (Sun et al., 2018). Disparities in access to healthcare and costs continue to be debated. The Affordable Care Act (ACA) was signed into law in 2010 with the goal to improve access by making healthcare more affordable. According to Kaiser Family Foundation (KFF) (KFF, 2023) the ACA Medicaid expansion extended coverage to adults by increasing eligibility to those with incomes up to 138% of the federal poverty level. Additionally, states were given an enhanced federal matching rate to expand coverage increasing coverage to adults in 40 states and Washington, DC (KFF, 2023).

Many low-, middle-, and high-income countries offer government-guaranteed health care otherwise known as universal health care (UHC) offering access to some level of health care based on a government’s legislation, regulation, and/or taxation. The countries that have a form of UHC coverage have emergency care visits that are also often an entry point for patients because of cost and access barriers. In some of these countries emergency services are utilized more because of ease of access and by people with lower income because services are not billed nor require copayments (Eurofound, 2019). The management of these systems varies by country. There are some countries where the government manages the healthcare system like the National Health Service (NHS) for countries of the United Kingdom (UK) (Vankar, 2022). Other countries like Canada use taxation and a single payer system even though each of the 13 provinces and territories determine the care delivered (Dhalla, 2018; Tikkanen, 2019) while citizens of countries in the European Union (EU) make contributions through their employer to use private insurance companies that are government regulated (Tikkanen, 2019).

The barriers associated with access to quality health care are multi-modal, vary by country and require different strategies to eliminate barriers and improve care. While quality health care has challenges it should include an ongoing patient-healthcare provider relationship especially in areas such as behavioral/mental health and reproductive health. Caring for behavioral and mental health conditions such as substance use disorder requires a solid patient-healthcare provider relationship to manage ongoing care including medications. Unfortunately, due to the typical cycle of addiction, with remissions and exacerbations, many patients find themselves in the ED either by choice or necessity. In the U.S. options for access to care for substance use disorder have been limited, carry a heavy cost burden and are often not covered by insurance (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [HHS], Office of the Surgeon General, 2016). In fact, the U.S. has one of the highest mental health burdens with a lower capacity to care for patients than ten other high-income countries (Tikkanen et al., 2020). According to Tikkanen et
al. (2020) a number of other high-income countries are removing cost barriers by operating specialty clinics and waving copayments for mental health care. While there are some cities and regions of the U.S. trying to improve mental health care, barriers remain. Patients around the world, particularly women, need quality reproductive health care. However, issues regarding a patient’s right to choose are evident in many areas with laws restricting access to some reproductive health services.

Another example of barriers to access was highlighted during the global COVID-19 pandemic. Surge volumes from the pandemic created an even greater mismatch in supply and demand for care with high patient volumes in emergency and inpatient settings and shortages of not only personnel but personal protective equipment (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention [CDC], 2023). Innovative strategies to address some of these barriers such as outdoor and drive through virus testing, outdoor healthcare provider visits at urgent care facilities, and expanded telehealth services emerged during the COVID-19 pandemic. Emergency nurses were on the front lines of many of these creative solutions. However, many were disease-specific and some were time-limited. Therefore, they are not a solution for breadth and depth of problems limiting access to care.

**ENA Position**

It is the position of the Emergency Nurses Association that:

1. All people have the right to equitable access to affordable, comprehensive, quality healthcare services for critical, acute, and chronic conditions, including mental health, substance use disorders, and reproductive health, regardless of socioeconomic status or geographical location.

2. Strategies involving all stakeholders are needed to support growing the healthcare provider workforce, including targeted funding for nursing education including student loan forgiveness.

3. All healthcare providers be allowed to practice to the full extent of their educational preparation and scope of practice in addition to ensuring equitable reimbursement for services provided.

4. Contributions to advocacy efforts on local, state, national and international level are aimed at improving access to affordable, comprehensive, quality healthcare for all.

5. Access to affordable, comprehensive, quality healthcare be expanded through prioritization, exploration, implementation, and reimbursement for use of emerging technologies, such as telemedicine.

6. Emergency nurses participate in the development and delivery of public information for preventive, community, and primary care resources to mitigate nonemergent use of emergency services.

7. Emergency nurses support confidentiality between patient and healthcare providers and delivery of evidence-based health care with patient safety as the highest priority.

**Background**

Access to affordable, comprehensive, and quality healthcare substantially impacts a number of social determinants of health (SDoH) such as physical, social, and mental health outcomes (Agency for Healthcare Research, 2016; County Health Rankings & Roadmaps, n.d.; HHS, n.d. a & B; Riwitis &
Navarrol, 2023. Lack of access to quality healthcare is one of the WHO’s top ten threats to global health, as at least half of the global population does not have access to essential health services (WHO, n.d.b). Access to healthcare varies across the globe and is dependent upon several factors.

Health inequalities are avoidable differences in healthcare, often related to social, economic, demographic, and geographic difference among populations (Riwitis & Navarrol, 2023; WHO, n.d.a). According to the CDC (2022), health equity is achieved when people attain full health potential regardless of other social determinants. Awareness of the concept of health equity is crucial for effective healthcare policy-making. While not all people need or desire the exact same type of healthcare access, the focus of healthcare policy should include the goal of addressing barriers to healthcare access.

Ideally, individuals access the healthcare system through a primary care provider to receive comprehensive, affordable, community-based care (WHO, 2019a). Primary care providers offer a usual source of care, early detection, and treatment of disease, chronic disease management, and preventive care (HHS, n.d. a). According to the CDC, in 2022 it is estimated that 87.6% of U.S. citizens over age 18 had a consistent source of care (Centers for Disease Control, National Center for Health Statistics, 2023). Of those, 83.4% had a visit with their primary care provider on an annual basis (CDC, 2023; Schiller & Norris, 2023). However, for many individuals, the emergency department (ED) is a primary source for healthcare, as evidenced by nearly 29% of all emergency visits in the U.S. being for nonemergent triage levels four or five (U.S. Census Bureau, 2023; CDC, 2020c).

The U.S. enacted the ACA in 2010 to expand access to healthcare and in subsequent years have expanded coverage (KFF, 2023). Prior to the ACA, 16% of all people in the U.S. were uninsured (Cohen et al., 2011). However, Cohen et al. (2018) found that after ACA only 9.3% of all people were uninsured. Additionally, Cohen and Cha (2023) found that 8.4% of the population still remained uninsured in 2022. In the U.S., 6.1% of adults aged 18 and over failed to obtain medical care between January and December 2022 due to costs (Schiller & Norris, 2023a & b). Additionally higher out of pocket expenses may result in delays in accessing healthcare, further contributing to seeking care in the ED.

Having health insurance or UHC facilitates access to primary care, specialists, and emergency care. However, it does not ensure access. Access to quality providers in close proximity is a crucial factor in healthcare access (County Health Rankings & Roadmaps, n.d.). Around the globe people who live in rural settings face unique challenges accessing healthcare services, such as limited facility or provider options and/or extensive travel to receive care. According to the World Health Organization (n.d. d) nearly half of the world population live in rural or remote areas with 4 out of 5 living in extreme poverty. An estimated 15% of the U.S. population live in rural areas and experience higher rates of death from five leading preventable causes including heart disease, cancer, unintentional injury, chronic lower respiratory disease, and stroke compared to the urban populace (CDC, 2019). Worldwide rural areas also experience higher rates of illness and death due to poor access to healthcare much of which cannot be quantified due to differing variables including but not limited to geographic location, level of poverty, or availability of healthcare workers. Access to health care for those living in rural or remote areas continues to be a challenge primarily due to a global shortage of health care workers (HCW). Regardless of a countries economic standing shortages of health care workers still pose a significant issue where 75% of physicians...
and 65% of nurses globally work in urban areas despite the fact that half of the world's population lives in rural areas (Makuku & Mosadeghrad, 2022). In fact, the World Health Organization (2021) estimates that there will be an 18 million HCW shortage by 2030 primarily in rural, low- and middle-income settings. With the International Council of Nurses (n.d.) estimates the world could see a shortfall of up to 13 million nurses. It is projected that by 2034, the U.S. will experience a shortage of between 37,800 and 124,000 physicians with rural and other underserved areas experiencing the shortages more acutely (Association of American Medical Colleges [AAMC], 2021). The issue of HCW shortages creating lack of access to care is not isolated to one or two countries but rather it is an international issue of recruitment, migration, supply, and demand.

One solution to improving access to health care and combat the looming shortages is to use nurse practitioners (NPs). The first U.S. NP program began in 1965 to address limited access to care to underserved pediatric patients in rural areas (McComiskey, 2018). Since the first US programs began there has been a global increase in the use of NPs to provide care. In addition to the U.S., seven other countries, Australia, Canada, Finland, Ireland, the Netherlands, New Zealand, and the United Kingdom, utilize NPs to provide access to quality health care (Maier et al., 2018). According to the American Association of Nurse Practitioners (AANP; 2020), NPs provide quality care, treat patients in a variety of settings across the health spectrum and improve access by serving underserved populations. In fact, a recent study revealed an increase of 43.2% of NPs practicing in rural areas from 17.6% in 2008 to 25.2% in 2016 (Barnes et al., 2018). As demand for healthcare access expands globally, it is important to understand that NPs are highly trained and able to provide a variety of services poised to fill the gap of access to care for underserved populations around the world (American Nurses Association, n.d.; Australian College of Nurse Practitioners, n.d.). Despite the growing trend to utilize NPs to improve access to care there are a number of barriers to practice in the United States and internationally. Depending on geographic location the barriers, often based on country, state or local laws, might include restrictions on prescribing authority, restrictions on practice such as ordering diagnostics or negative physician and administrator relations where autonomy or respect are not evident (Beadnell, 2019; Scanlon et al., 2019; Schirle et al., 2020).

Access to evidence-based reproductive healthcare is a serious concern following the Dobbs v Jackson Women’s Health Organization ruling by the Supreme Court on June 24th, 2022 (Coen-Sanchez et al., 2022; Schreiber et al., 2022). In the U.S. legislative control of abortion may now be determined at state level, resulting in a lack of patient access and treatment of medical reproductive emergencies (Harris, 2022; Vinekar et al., 2022). This leads to conflict between the requirements of the Emergency Medical Treatment and Labor Act (EMTALA) and state level decisions due to the uncertainty of the definition of ‘emergency’ and the need to determine a clear threat to life (Rosenbaum et al., 2022). This uncertainty may result in a delay in the delivery of lifesaving care, potentially resulting in detriment to the patient’s clinical status (Schreiber et al., 2022). There are a number of areas of concern. First, the interference of the legislature in the patient-healthcare provider relationship wherein legislators without medical education and training are making decisions about healthcare situations without the experience or knowledge required to make an informed decision. There is also the requirement to anticipate and seek clarification during an emergency situation, removing patient and practitioner autonomy and increasing risk to the patient. In addition, practice variations throughout the country result in the availability of patient care being dependent on the services they can access which often depends on where they reside. In the complex healthcare environment, ensuring access to affordable, comprehensive, quality healthcare is a daunting task. The entire ED team has a part to play in advocating for policies to support advancing education and development of staff roles to full scope, removing barriers to access, and informing the public of resources for avoiding nonemergent use of the ED.
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This position statement, including the information and recommendations set forth herein, reflects ENA’s current position with respect to the subject matter discussed herein based on current knowledge at the time of publication. This position statement is only current as of its publication date and is subject to change without notice as new information and advances emerge. The positions, information and recommendations discussed herein are not codified into law or regulations. In addition, variations in practice, which take into account the needs of the individual patient and the resources and limitations unique to the institution, may warrant approaches, treatments and/or procedures that differ from the recommendations outlined in this position statement. Therefore, this position statement should not be construed as dictating an exclusive course of management, treatment, or care, nor does adherence to this position statement guarantee a particular outcome. ENA’s position statements are never intended to replace a practitioner’s best nursing judgment based on the clinical circumstances of a particular patient or patient population. Position statements are published by ENA for educational and informational purposes only, and ENA does not “approve” or “endorse” any specific sources of information referenced herein. ENA assumes no liability for any injury and/or damage to persons or property arising out of or related to the use of or reliance on any position statement.